

## A STUDY ON THE SET-VALUED DISCRETE DYNAMICAL SYSTEM $(2^X, \bar{f})$

KYUNG BOK LEE\*

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to some dynamical properties such as transitivity, mixing and specification of two discrete dynamical systems  $(X, f)$  and  $(2^X, \bar{f})$  on compact metric spaces.

### 1. Introduction and backgrounds

S. Li [4] proved that the shift map  $\sigma_f : \varprojlim(X, f) \leftarrow \varprojlim(X, f)$  induced by a continuous map  $f$  on a compact metric space  $X$  is chaotic in the sense of Devaney if and only if  $(X, f)$  is chaotic in the sense of Devaney. Moreover, Roman-Flore [6] showed that the Devaney's chaoticity of  $(X, f)$  implies the Devaney's chaoticity of the set-valued dynamical system  $(2^X, \bar{f})$ , and gave a question whether the converse of the statement is true or not.

In this paper we give a partial answer about the above question, and study some relationship between two dynamics of  $(X, f)$  and  $(2^X, \bar{f})$ . More precisely, we show that if Devaney chaotic and weak mixing then  $\bar{f}$  is Devaney chaotic;  $f$  is strong [resp. mild] mixing if and only if  $\bar{f}$  is strong [resp. mild] mixing, respectively;  $f$  has a specification [resp. Property P] if and only if  $\bar{f}$  has specification. [Property P], respectively.

We start with the definition of Devaney chaos. Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map. A map  $f$  is called to be *Devaney chaotic* [2] if  $f$  satisfies the following three conditions.

- (1)  $f$  is *transitive* that is for every pair  $U, V$  of non-empty open subsets of  $X$  there is a positive integer  $n$  such that  $f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$ .

---

Received May 31, 2017; Accepted July 24, 2017.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 37B40.

Key words and phrases: set-valued discrete dynamical systems, Devaney's chaoticity, mixing, specification.

- (2)  $f$  is *periodically dense* that is the set of periodic points of  $f$  is dense in  $X$ .
- (3)  $f$  has *sensitive dependence on initial conditions* that is there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $x \in X$ , there exist a sequence  $(x_k)$  in  $X$  and a sequence  $(n_k)$  of positive integers such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = x$  and  $d(f^{n_k}(x), f^{n_k}(x_k)) \geq \delta$  for all  $k$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we will investigate the relationships between the mixing property of  $(2^X, \bar{f})$  and the mixing property of  $(X, f)$ . In addition, we discuss totally transitivity and specification for the set-valued discrete dynamical system  $(2^X, \bar{f})$ .

For a compact metric space  $(X, d)$ , let  $2^X$  be the family of all non-empty compact subsets of  $X$ . A metric  $H$  on  $2^X$  is defined as follows:

DEFINITION 2.1. If  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $A \in 2^X$ , then

$$N(A, \epsilon) = \{x \in X \mid d(x, a) < \epsilon \text{ for some } a \in A\}.$$

If  $A, B \in 2^X$ , then define

$$H(A, B) = \inf\{\epsilon > 0 \mid A \subset N(B, \epsilon) \text{ and } B \subset N(A, \epsilon)\}.$$

In fact, as will be proved in Theorem 2.2,  $H$  is a metric on  $2^X$ . It will be called the *Hausdorff metric* induced by  $d$ .

THEOREM 2.2. *The function  $H : 2^X \times 2^X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a metric on  $2^X$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove the triangle inequality. Let  $A, B, C \in 2^X$ . We will show that

$$(*) \quad H(A, C) \leq H(A, B) + H(B, C).$$

To prove (\*), let  $\eta > 0$  and let  $\delta = \eta/2$ . From the definition of  $H$  we see that

- (1)  $A \subset N(B, H(A, B) + \delta)$ , and  
 (2)  $B \subset N(C, H(B, C) + \delta)$ .

Let  $a \in A$ . By (1), there exists  $b \in B$  such that

$$(3) \quad d(a, b) < H(A, B) + \delta.$$

By (2), there exists  $c \in C$  such that

$$(4) \quad d(b, c) < H(B, C) + \delta.$$

Using (3), (4), and the definition of  $\delta$ , it follows easily that

$$d(a, c) < H(A, B) + H(B, C) + \eta.$$

Therefore, since  $a$  was an arbitrary point of  $A$ , we have proved that

$$(5) \quad A \subset N(C, H(A, B) + H(B, C) + \eta).$$

A similar argument shows that

$$(6) \quad C \subset N(A, H(A, B) + H(B, C) + \eta).$$

Since  $\eta$  was an arbitrary positive number, it follows from (5), (6), and the definition of  $H(A, C)$  that (\*) holds. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

DEFINITION 2.3. Let  $(A_n)$  be a sequence in  $2^X$ . Then define

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf A_n &= \{x \in X \mid \text{if } U \text{ is a neighborhood of } x, \text{ then } U \cap A_n \neq \emptyset \\ &\quad \text{for all but finitely many } n\} \\ \limsup A_n &= \{x \in X \mid \text{if } U \text{ is a neighborhood of } x, \text{ then } U \cap A_n \neq \emptyset \\ &\quad \text{for infinitely many } n\} \end{aligned}$$

If  $\liminf A_n = A = \limsup A_n$ , then we say that the sequence  $(A_n)$  converges to  $A$ , written  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = A$ .

REMARK 2.4. Let  $(A_n)$  be a sequence in  $2^X$ . As is easy to verify :

- (1)  $\liminf A_n \subset \limsup A_n$ .
- (2)  $\liminf A_n$  and  $\limsup A_n$  are each closed subsets of  $X$ .
- (3) If  $(A_{n_i})$  is a subsequence of  $(A_n)$ , then  $\liminf A_n \subset \liminf A_{n_i}$  and  $\limsup A_{n_i} \subset \limsup A_n$ .

THEOREM 2.5. Let  $(A_n)$  be a sequence in  $2^X$ . If  $(A_n)$  converges to  $A$  in the sense of Definition 2.3, then  $A \in 2^X$  and  $(A_n)$  converges to  $A$  with respect to the Hausdorff metric. Conversely, if  $(A_n)$  converges with respect to the Hausdorff metric to  $A$ , then  $(A_n)$  converges to  $A$  in the sense of Definition 2.3.

*Proof.* First assume  $(A_n)$  converges to  $A$  in the sense of Definition 2.3. Since  $X$  is compact and each  $A_n \neq \emptyset$ , it follows that  $\limsup A_n \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, since  $A = \limsup A_n$ , we have that  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Also, by Remark 2.4,  $A$  is a compact subset of  $X$ . Hence  $A \in 2^X$ . Now we show that  $(A_n)$  converges to  $A$  with respect to the Hausdorff metric. Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Note that

$$(1) \quad \limsup A_n = A \subset N_d(A, \epsilon)$$

and that, since  $N_d(A, \epsilon)$  is an open subset of  $X$ ,

$$(2) \quad \text{the complement of } N_d(A, \epsilon) \text{ is a compact subset of } X.$$

Using (1), (2), and Remark 2.4, it follows that there exists a natural number  $N_1$  such that

$$(3) A_n \subset N_d(A, \epsilon) \text{ for each } n \geq N_1.$$

Since  $A$  is a non-empty compact subset of  $X$ , there exist a finite number of open subsets  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subset \cup_{i=1}^k U_i$ , the diameter of each  $U_i$  is less than  $\epsilon$ , and  $U_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Then, since  $A = \liminf A_n$ , there exists for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$  a natural number  $M_i$  such that  $A_n \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $n \geq M_i$ . Let  $N_2 = \max\{M_1, \dots, M_k\}$ . It is easy to verify that

$$(4) A \subset N_d(A_n, \epsilon) \text{ for each } n \geq N_2.$$

Let  $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . Then, by (3), (4), and the definition of  $H$  we see that  $H(A, A_n) < \epsilon$  for each  $n \geq N$ . Therefore we have proved that  $(A_n)$  converges to  $A$  with respect to the Hausdorff metric. This proves half of Theorem. To prove the other half, assume  $(A_n)$  converges to an  $A \in 2^X$  with respect to the Hausdorff metric. We first show that

$$(5) \limsup A_n \subset A.$$

To verify (5), let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $(A_n)$  converges to  $A$  with respect to the Hausdorff metric, there exists a natural number  $N$  such that  $H(A, A_n) < \epsilon$  for each  $n \geq N$ . This implies that no point of  $\limsup A_n$  can be more than  $\epsilon$  from every point of  $A$ . Therefore, since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we have proved (5). Next we show that

$$(6) A \subset \liminf A_n.$$

To verify (6), let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $a_0 \in A$  and let  $U = N_d(a_0, \epsilon)$ . Since  $(A_n)$  converges to  $A$  with respect to the Hausdorff metric, there exists a natural number  $N$  such that  $H(A, A_n) < \epsilon$  for each  $n \geq N$ . Hence, by the definition of  $H$ , we have that  $A \subset N_d(A_n, \epsilon)$  for each  $n \geq N$ . Thus  $U \cap A_n \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \geq N$ . Therefore, since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary,

$$a_0 \in \liminf A_n.$$

This proves (6). Combining (5) and (6) and using Remark 2.4, we see that  $(A_n)$  converges to  $A$  in the sense of Definition 2.3.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.6.** *The space  $2^X$  is compact.*

*Proof.* To prove that  $2^X$  is compact, it suffices by Theorem 2.5 to show that every sequence in  $2^X$  has a convergent subsequence in the sense of Definition 2.3. To do this, let  $(A_n)$  be a sequence in  $2^X$ . We define sequences

$$\begin{aligned} (A_n^1) &: A_1^1, A_2^1, \dots, A_n^1, \dots \\ (A_n^2) &: A_1^2, A_2^2, \dots, A_n^2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

$$(A_n^n) : \begin{matrix} \vdots \\ A_1^n, A_2^n, \dots, A_n^n, \dots \\ \vdots \end{matrix}$$

inductively as follows. Let  $\beta = \{U_n\}$  be a countable basis for  $X$ . Define  $(A_n^1)$  by  $A_n^1 = A_n$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Assume inductively that we have defined the sequence  $(A_n^k)$ . We define  $(A_n^{k+1})$  in one of the following two ways :

- (1) If  $(A_n^k)$  has a subsequence  $(A_{n_i}^k)$  such that  $(\limsup A_{n_i}^k) \cap U_k = \emptyset$ , then let  $(A_n^{k+1})$  be one such subsequence of  $(A_n^k)$ .
- (2) If every subsequence of  $(A_n^k)$  has a point of its  $\limsup$  in  $U_k$ , then let  $(A_n^{k+1})$  be given by  $A_n^{k+1} = A_n^k$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Now, having defined the sequence  $(A_n^k)$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , consider the 'diagonal sequence'  $(A_n^n)$ . Clearly  $(A_n^n)$  is a subsequence of  $(A_n)$ , and we will show it converges. Suppose  $(A_n^n)$  does not converges. Then, by Remark 2.4, there exists a point  $p \in \limsup A_n^n$  such that  $p \notin \liminf A_n^n$ . Hence, there exists  $U_m \in \beta$  such that  $p \in U_m$  and such that  $U_m \cap A_{n_i}^{n_i} = \emptyset$  for some subsequence  $(A_{n_i}^{n_i})$  of  $(A_n^n)$ . Clearly  $(A_{n_i}^{n_i})$  is a subsequence of  $(A_n^m)$ . Thus  $(A_n^m)$  satisfies (1) above. Hence

$$(\limsup A_n^{m+1}) \cap U_m = \emptyset.$$

Therefore, since  $(A_n^n)_{n=m+1}^\infty$  is a subsequence of  $(A_n^{m+1})$ , it follows using Remark 2.4 that  $(\limsup A_n^n) \cap U_m = \emptyset$ . But, since  $p \in (\limsup A_n^n) \cap U_m$ , we have a contradiction. Therefore  $(A_n^n)$  converges. This completes the proof of Theorem.  $\square$

For any finite non-empty open subsets  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  of  $X$ , let

$$\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle = \{A \in 2^X \mid A \subset \cup_{i=1}^n U_i \text{ and } A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

**THEOREM 2.7.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space. Then the set  $\beta$  of all subsets of  $2^X$  of the form  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$  is a basis for  $2^X$ .*

*Proof.* First we show that  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$  is an open subset of  $2^X$ . Let

$$A \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle.$$

Then  $A \subset \cup_{i=1}^n U_i$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , since  $A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset$ , we can choose a point  $a_i \in A \cap U_i$ . There exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$N_d(A, \epsilon) \subset \cup_{i=1}^n U_i \text{ and } N_d(a_i, \epsilon) \subset U_i \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let  $B \in N_H(A, \epsilon)$ . Then  $B \subset N_d(A, \epsilon) \subset \cup_{i=1}^n U_i$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , since

$$a_i \in A \subset N_d(B, \epsilon),$$

there is a point  $b_i \in B$  such that  $d(a_i, b_i) < \epsilon$ . Since  $b_i \in N_d(a_i, \epsilon) \subset U_i$ , we have

$$B \cap U_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Thus  $B \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$  so  $N_H(A, \epsilon) \subset \langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$ . Hence  $\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle$  is an open subset of  $2^X$ .

Next we show that  $\beta$  is a basis for  $2^X$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an open subset of  $2^X$ . Given any  $A \in \alpha$ , there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $N_H(A, \epsilon) \subset \alpha$ . Since  $A$  is compact, there exist finitely many points  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  of  $A$  such that

$$A \subset \cup_{i=1}^n N_d\left(a_i, \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right).$$

Clearly,  $A \in \langle N_d(a_1, \frac{\epsilon}{3}), \dots, N_d(a_n, \frac{\epsilon}{3}) \rangle$ . Let  $B \in \langle N_d(a_1, \frac{\epsilon}{3}), \dots, N_d(a_n, \frac{\epsilon}{3}) \rangle$ . For any  $b \in B$ , since  $B \subset \cup_{i=1}^n N_d(a_i, \frac{\epsilon}{3})$ , there exists  $i$  such that  $b \in N_d(a_i, \frac{\epsilon}{3})$ . Thus

$$B \subset N_d\left(A, \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right).$$

For any  $a \in A$ , there exists  $i$  such that  $a \in N_d(a_i, \frac{\epsilon}{3})$ , that is,  $d(a_i, a) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Since

$$B \cap N_d\left(a_i, \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \neq \emptyset,$$

we can choose a point  $b \in B$  such that  $d(a_i, b) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . Then we have

$$d(a, b) \leq d(a, a_i) + d(a_i, b) < \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \frac{2}{3}\epsilon.$$

Thus  $A \subset N_d(B, \frac{2}{3}\epsilon)$ . Hence  $H(A, B) \leq \frac{2}{3}\epsilon < \epsilon$  so we get

$$A \in \langle N_d\left(a_1, \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right), \dots, N_d\left(a_n, \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right) \rangle \subset N_H(A, \epsilon) \subset \alpha.$$

Therefore  $\beta$  is a basis for  $2^X$ . □

If  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is a continuous map then by  $\bar{f}(A) = \{f(a) | a \in A\}$  for every  $A \in 2^X$  one defines a continuous map  $\bar{f} : 2^X \rightarrow 2^X$ .

A map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called to be *totally transitive* if  $f^n : X \rightarrow X$  is transitive for every positive integer  $n$ . A map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called to be *weak mixing* if the product map  $f \times f : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$  is transitive, and  $f$  is *strong mixing* if for any two non-empty open subsets  $U, V$  of  $X$  there is a positive integer  $N$  such that

$$f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset$$

for every integer  $n \geq N$ .

In [8], Xiong and Yang investigated the chaos caused by a mixing map and revealed a kind of quite complex phenomenon.

A map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called to be *weakly chaotic* in the sense of Xiong if there is a  $c$ -dense  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $C$  of  $X$  such that for any subset  $A$  of  $C$  and any continuous map  $F : A \rightarrow X$  there is an increasing positive integer sequence  $(p_n)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^{p_n}(x) = F(x)$$

for each  $x \in A$ .  $f$  is called to be *chaotic* in the sense of Xiong if for any given increasing positive integer sequence  $(p_n)$  there is a  $c$ -dense  $F_\sigma$ -subset  $C$  of  $X$  such that for any subset  $A$  of  $C$  and any continuous map  $F : A \rightarrow X$  there is a subsequence  $(p_{n_i})$  of  $(p_n)$  such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f^{p_{n_i}}(x) = F(x)$  for each  $x \in A$ .

### 3. Mixing and transitivity

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then we define the extension of  $A$  to  $2^X$  as

$$e(A) = \{K \in 2^X \mid K \subset A\}.$$

The following lemma is cited from [6].

LEMMA 3.1. *If  $U$  is a non-empty open subset of  $X$ , then*

- (1)  $e(U) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $U \neq \emptyset$ .
- (2)  $e(U)$  is a non-empty open subset of  $2^X$ .
- (3)  $e(U \cap V) = e(U) \cap e(V)$ .
- (4)  $\overline{f^n} = \overline{f^n}$  for all positive integer  $n$ .
- (5)  $\overline{f}(e(U)) \subset e(\overline{f}(U))$ .

In [7], Shao studied the double properties and family versions of mixing.

THEOREM 3.2. [7] *Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $f$  is weak mixing.
- (2)  $\overline{f}$  is weak mixing.
- (3)  $\overline{f}$  is transitive.

REMARK 3.3. [6] Roman-Flores showed that  $\overline{f}$  transitive implies  $f$  transitive. Hence, Theorem 3.2 generalizes the result of Roman-Flores. In addition, the theorem shows that the concepts of weak mixing and transitivity coincide for the set-valued discrete dynamical system  $(2^X, \overline{f})$ .

Devaney's chaoticity of  $f$  does not imply Devaney's chaoticity of  $\bar{f}$  as shown by the following example.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Let  $X = \{0, 1\}$  be a discrete space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map with  $f(0) = 1$  and  $f(1) = 0$ . Clearly,  $f$  is transitive and periodically dense, so  $f$  is Devaney chaotic. Since  $2^X = \{\{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}$  is a discrete space with two periodic orbits,  $\bar{f}$  is not Devaney chaotic.

However, we have the following result.

THEOREM 3.5. Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map. If  $f$  is Devaney chaotic and weak mixing, then  $\bar{f}$  is Devaney chaotic.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2, it is necessary to prove that if  $Per(f)$  is dense in  $X$  then  $Per(\bar{f})$  is dense in  $2^X$ , where  $Per(f)$  is the set of periodic points of  $f$ .

In fact, let  $\alpha$  be a non-empty open subset of  $2^X$ . We choose non-empty open subsets  $U_1, \dots, U_k$  of  $X$  such that

$$\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \subset \alpha.$$

Since  $Per(f)$  is dense in  $X$ , there are periodic points  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  of  $f$  such that  $p_i \in U_i$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let  $A = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ . Then  $A \in Per(\bar{f})$  and  $A \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \subset \alpha$ .

This shows that  $Per(\bar{f})$  is dense in  $2^X$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 3.6. Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $\bar{f}$  is strong mixing.
- (2)  $f$  is strong mixing.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that  $\bar{f}$  is strong mixing. Let  $U, V$  be any two non-empty open subsets of  $X$ . Since  $e(U), e(V)$  are non-empty open subsets of  $2^X$ , there is a positive integer  $N$  such that

$$\bar{f}^n(e(U)) \cap e(V) \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\bar{f}(e(U)) \cap e(V) \subset e(f^n(U)) \cap e(V) = e(f^n(U) \cap V).$$

Thus

$$f^n(U) \cap V \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$



This shows that  $f$  is strong mixing.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose that  $\bar{f}$  is strong mixing. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be any two non-empty open subsets of  $2^X$ . We choose non-empty open subsets

$$U_1, \dots, U_k, V_1, \dots, V_k$$

of  $X$  such that

$$\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \subset \alpha \text{ and } \langle V_1, \dots, V_k \rangle \subset \beta.$$

For each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , since  $f$  is strong mixing, there exists a positive integer  $N_i$  such that

$$f^n(U_i) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } n \geq N_i.$$

Let  $N = \max\{N_1, \dots, N_k\}$ . For every integer  $n \geq N$ , since  $f^n(U_i) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$ , there is a point  $x_i \in U_i$  such that  $f^n(x_i) \in V_i$ . Let  $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . Then  $A \in 2^X$  and

$$A \in \langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle \subset \alpha \text{ and } \bar{f}^n(A) \in \langle V_1, \dots, V_k \rangle \subset \beta.$$

Thus  $\bar{f}^n(\alpha) \cap \beta \neq \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq N$ . This shows that  $\bar{f}$  is strong mixing.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\bar{f}$  is mild mixing.
- (2)  $f$  is mild mixing.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that  $\bar{f}$  is mild mixing. For any transitive dynamical system  $(Y, g)$ , we will show that  $(X \times Y, f \times g)$  is a transitive dynamical system.

Let  $U_1 \times V_1$  and  $U_2 \times V_2$  be two non-empty open subsets of  $X \times Y$ . Then  $e(U_1) \times V_1$  and  $e(U_2) \times V_2$  are two non-empty open subsets of  $2^X \times Y$ . Since  $\bar{f} \times g$  is transitive, there are a positive integer  $n$  and a point  $(A, y) \in e(U_1) \times V_1$  such that

$$(\bar{f} \times g)^n(A, y) = (\bar{f}^n(A), g^n(y)) \in e(U_2) \times V_2.$$

We pick a point  $x \in A \subset U_1$ . Then  $f^n(x) \in \bar{f}^n(A) \subset U_2$ . Thus  $(x, y) \in U_1 \times V_1$  and

$$(f \times g)^n(x, y) = (f^n(x), g^n(y)) \in U_2 \times V_2.$$

Hence  $(X \times Y, f \times g)$  is a transitive dynamical system. This shows that  $f$  is mild mixing.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose that  $f$  is mild mixing. For any transitive dynamical system  $(Y, g)$ , we will show that  $(2^X \times Y, \bar{f} \times g)$  is a transitive dynamical system.

Let  $\alpha_1 \times V_1$  and  $\alpha_2 \times V_2$  be two non-empty open subsets of  $2^X \times Y$ . Then there are non-empty open subsets  $U_1^1, \dots, U_k^1, U_1^2, \dots, U_k^2$  of  $X$  such that

$$\langle U_1^1, \dots, U_k^1 \rangle \subset \alpha_1 \text{ and } \langle U_1^2, \dots, U_k^2 \rangle \subset \alpha_2.$$

Since  $f$  is mild mixing,  $(X \times Y, f \times g)$  is a transitive dynamical system. By using the induction, we get that

$$(X^k \times Y, f \times \dots \times f \times g)$$

is a transitive dynamical system. Thus, for two non-empty open subsets

$$U_1^1 \times \dots \times U_k^1 \times V_1 \text{ and } U_1^2 \times \dots \times U_k^2 \times V_2$$

of  $X^k \times Y$ , there are a positive integer  $n$  and a point

$$(x_1, \dots, x_k, y) \in U_1^1 \times \dots \times U_k^1 \times V_1$$

such that

$$(f \times \dots \times f \times g)^n(x_1, \dots, x_k, y) = (f^n(x_1), \dots, f^n(x_k), g^n(y)) \\ \in U_1^2 \times \dots \times U_k^2 \times V_2.$$

Thus  $f^n(x_i) \in U_i^2$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $g^n(y) \in V_2$ . Let  $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ . Then

$$A \in \langle U_1^1, \dots, U_k^1 \rangle \subset \alpha_1 \text{ and } \bar{f}^n(A) \in \langle U_1^2, \dots, U_k^2 \rangle \subset \alpha_2.$$

Hence

$$(A, y) \in \alpha_1 \times V_1 \text{ and } (\bar{f}^n(A), g^n(y)) = (\bar{f} \times g)^n(A, y) \in \alpha_2 \times V_2.$$

Therefore  $(2^X \times Y, \bar{f} \times g)$  is a transitive dynamical system. This shows that  $\bar{f}$  is mild mixing.  $\square$

#### 4. Specification and totally transitivity

DEFINITION 4.1. A map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called to have *specification* if for any positive number  $\epsilon$  there is a positive number  $M(\epsilon)$  such that for any integer  $k \geq 2$  and any  $k$  points  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  of  $X$ , and any  $2k$  non-negative integers

$$a_1 \leq b_1 < a_2 \leq b_2 < \dots < a_k \leq b_k$$

with  $a_i - b_{i-1} \geq M(\epsilon)$  for each  $i = 2, \dots, k$  there is a point  $x$  of  $X$  satisfying

$$d(f^n(x), f^n(x_i)) < \epsilon$$

for every  $n = a_i, \dots, b_i$  and every  $i = 2, \dots, k$ .

THEOREM 4.2. Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $\bar{f}$  has specification.
- (2)  $f$  has specification.

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that  $\bar{f}$  has specification. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $M = M(\epsilon)$  be a positive number as in the definition of specification for  $\bar{f}$ . For any integer  $k \geq 2$ , we take any  $k$  points  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  of  $X$  and any  $2k$  non-negative integers

$$a_1 \leq b_1 < a_2 \leq b_2 < \dots < a_k \leq b_k$$

with  $a_i - b_{i-1} \geq M$  for every  $i = 2, \dots, k$ . We denote  $A_i = \{x_i\}$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , then  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in 2^X$ . Since  $\bar{f}$  has specification, there is a point  $A$  of  $2^X$  such that

$$H(\bar{f}^n(A), \bar{f}^n(A_i)) < \epsilon$$

for all  $n = a_i, \dots, b_i$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Since

$$\bar{f}^n(A) \subset N(\bar{f}^n(A_i), \epsilon) = N(f^n(x_i), \epsilon),$$

we pick a point  $x \in A$ , then we have

$$d(f^n(x), f^n(x_i)) < \epsilon$$

for all  $n = a_i, \dots, b_i$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Thus  $f$  has specification.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose that  $f$  has specification. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $M = M(\frac{\epsilon}{3})$  be a positive number as in the definition of specification for  $f$ . For any integer  $k \geq 2$ , we take any  $k$  points  $A_1, \dots, A_k$  of  $2^X$  and any  $2k$  non-negative integers

$$a_1 \leq b_1 < a_2 \leq b_2 < \dots < a_k \leq b_k$$

with  $a_i - b_{i-1} \geq M$  for every  $i = 2, \dots, k$ . Since  $f, \dots, f^{b_k}$  are uniformly continuous, there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$d(x, y) < \delta \text{ implies } d(f^i(x), f^i(y)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, b_k$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,  $\{N(x, \delta) | x \in A_i\}$  is an open cover of  $A_i$ . Since  $A_i$  is compact, there are finitely many points  $x_1^i, \dots, x_{m_i}^i$  of  $A_i$  such that

$$A_i \subset \cup_{t=1}^{m_i} N(x_t^i, \delta).$$

For  $k$  points  $x_{t_1}^1, \dots, x_{t_k}^k$  of  $X$  where  $1 \leq t_i \leq m_i$  and  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , since  $f$  has specification, there is a point  $x(t_1, \dots, t_k)$  of  $X$  such that

$$d(f^n(x(t_1, \dots, t_k)), f^n(x_{t_i}^i)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$$

for all  $n = a_i, \dots, b_i$  and all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let

$$A = \{x(t_1, \dots, t_k) | 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq t_i \leq m_i\}.$$

Then  $A \in 2^X$ . Let  $i = 1, \dots, k$  and  $1 \leq t_i \leq m_i$ . Since

$$d(f^n(x(t_1, \dots, t_k)), \bar{f}^n(A_i)) \leq d(f^n(x(t_1, \dots, t_k)), f^n(x_{t_i}^i)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3},$$

we have

$$\bar{f}^n(A) \subset N\left(\bar{f}^n(A_i), \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right)$$

for all  $n = a_i, \dots, b_i$ . Given any  $x \in A_i$ , there exists a  $t_i$  such that  $x \in N(x_{t_i}^i, \delta)$ . Since  $d(x_{t_i}^i, x) < \delta$ , we have  $d(f^n(x_{t_i}^i), f^n(x)) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  for all  $n = a_i, \dots, b_i$ . Choose any

$$t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_k$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(f^n(x), \bar{f}^n(A)) &\leq d(f^n(x), f^n(x(t_1, \dots, t_k))) \\ &\leq d(f^n(x), f^n(x_{t_i}^i)) + d(f^n(x_{t_i}^i), f^n(x(t_1, \dots, t_k))) \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \frac{2}{3}\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\bar{f}^n(A_i) \subset N(\bar{f}^n(A), \frac{2}{3}\epsilon)$ . Hence

$$H(\bar{f}^n(A), \bar{f}^n(A_i)) \leq \frac{2}{3}\epsilon < \epsilon$$

for all  $i = a_i, \dots, b_i$ . Therefore  $\bar{f}$  has specification.  $\square$

In [1], Bowen introduced the concept of Property  $P$  to characterize chaotic phenomenon of flow with the specification property.

A map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called to have *Property P* if for any two non-empty open subsets  $U_1, U_2$  of  $X$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that, for any integer  $k \geq 2$  and any  $s = (s(1), s(2), \dots, s(k)) \in \{1, 2\}^k$  there is a point  $x$  of  $X$  satisfying

$$x \in U_{s(1)}, f^N(x) \in U_{s(2)}, \dots, f^{(k-1)N}(x) \in U_{s(k)}.$$

**THEOREM 4.3.** [9] *Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map. If  $f$  has Property  $P$  then  $f$  is weak mixing.*

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\bar{f}$  has Property  $P$ .
- (2)  $f$  has Property  $P$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Suppose that  $\bar{f}$  has Property  $P$ . Let  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  be any two non-empty open subsets of  $X$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $e(U_1)$  and  $e(U_2)$  are non-empty open subsets of  $2^X$ . Since  $\bar{f}$  has Property  $P$ , there is a positive integer  $N$  such that, for any integer  $k \geq 2$  and any  $s = (s(1), s(2), \dots, s(k)) \in \{1, 2\}^k$ , there is a point  $A$  of  $2^X$  satisfying

$$A \in e(U_{s(1)}), \bar{f}^N(A) \in e(U_{s(2)}), \dots, \bar{f}^{(k-1)N}(A) \in e(U_{s(k)}).$$

Thus we have

$$A \subset U_{s(1)}, \bar{f}^N(A) \subset U_{s(2)}, \dots, \bar{f}^{(k-1)N}(A) \subset U_{s(k)}.$$

Picking a point  $x \in A$ , then we have

$$x \in U_{s(1)}, f^N(x) \in U_{s(2)}, \dots, f^{(k-1)N}(x) \in U_{s(k)}.$$

Hence  $f$  has Property  $P$ .

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Suppose that  $f$  has Property  $P$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  be any two non-empty open subsets of  $2^X$ . Choose open subsets  $U_1^1, \dots, U_m^1, U_1^2, \dots, U_m^2$  of  $X$  such that

$$\langle U_1^1, \dots, U_m^1 \rangle \subset \alpha_1 \text{ and } \langle U_1^2, \dots, U_m^2 \rangle \subset \alpha_2.$$

Since  $f$  has Property  $P$ , for two non-empty open subsets  $U_i^1$  and  $U_i^2$  of  $X$  there is a positive integer  $N_i$  such that for any integer  $k_i \geq 2$  and any

$$s = (s(1), s(2), \dots, s(k_i)) \in \{1, 2\}^{k_i},$$

there is a point  $x_i$  of  $X$  satisfying

$$x_i \in U_i^{s(1)}, f^{N_i}(x_i) \in U_i^{s(2)}, \dots, f^{(k_i-1)N_i}(x_i) \in U_i^{s(k_i)}.$$

Let  $N$  denote the least common multiple of  $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m$ . For any integer  $k \geq 2$  and any  $s = (s(1), s(2), \dots, s(k)) \in \{1, 2\}^k$ , we denote

$$p_i = \frac{N}{N_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and

$$s = (s(1), \dots, s(1), s(2), \dots, s(2), \dots, s(k), \dots, s(k)) \in \{1, 2\}^{kp_i}.$$

Then there is a point  $y_i$  of  $X$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & y_i \in U_i^{s(1)}, f^{N_i}(y_i) \in U_i^{s(1)}, \dots, f^{(p_i-1)N_i}(y_i) \in U_i^{s(1)} \\ & f^{p_i N_i}(y_i) \in U_i^{s(2)}, f^{(p_i+1)N_i}(y_i) \in U_i^{s(2)}, \dots, f^{(2p_i-1)N_i}(y_i) \in U_i^{s(2)} \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & f^{(k-1)p_i N_i}(y_i) \in U_i^{s(k)}, f^{((k-1)p_i+1)N_i}(y_i) \in U_i^{s(k)}, \dots, \\ & \quad f^{(kp_i-1)N_i}(y_i) \in U_i^{s(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$y_i \in U_i^{s(1)}, f^N(y_i) \in U_i^{s(2)}, \dots, f^{(k-1)N}(y_i) \in U_i^{s(k)}.$$

Let  $A = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$ . Then  $A \in 2^X$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & A \in \langle U_1^{s(1)}, U_2^{s(1)}, \dots, U_m^{s(1)} \rangle \subset \alpha_{s(1)} \\ & \bar{f}^N(A) \in \langle U_1^{s(2)}, U_2^{s(2)}, \dots, U_m^{s(2)} \rangle \subset \alpha_{s(2)} \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \bar{f}^{(k-1)N}(A) \in \langle U_1^{s(k)}, U_2^{s(k)}, \dots, U_m^{s(k)} \rangle \subset \alpha_{s(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\bar{f}$  has Property  $P$ . □

Finally, we discuss totally transitivity.

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $X$  be a compact metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous map. If  $\bar{f}$  is totally transitive, then so is  $f$ . However, the converse is not true.*

*Proof.* Since  $\bar{f}$  is totally transitive, for every positive integer  $k$ ,  $\bar{f}^k = \overline{f^k}$  is transitive. By Theorem 3.6 of [6],  $f^k$  is transitive. Thus  $f$  is totally transitive. □

In general, the converse of Theorem 4.5 is not true.

### References

- [1] R. Bowen, *Periodic points and measures of Anosov diffeomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **154** (1971), 377-397.
- [2] M. Denker, C. Grillenberger, and K. Sigmund, *Ergodic theory on compact spaces*, Lecture notes in mathematics 527, Springer-Verlag 1976.
- [3] R. Gu and W. Guo, *On mixing property in set-valued discrete systems*, chaos, solutons and Fractals, **28** (2006), 747-754.
- [4] S. Li, *Dynamical properties of the shift maps on the inverse limit spaces*, Ergodic Th. and Dynam. Sys. **12** (1992), 95-108.
- [5] R. Peleg, *Weak disjointness of transformation groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1972), 165-170.
- [6] H. Roman-Flores, *A note on transitivity in set-valued discrete systems*, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, **17** (2003), 99-104.
- [7] S. Shao, *Dynamical systems and families*, Doctorial thesis of University of Science and Technology of China, 2004.
- [8] J. Xiang and Z. Yang, *Chaos caused by a topologically mixing map*, Dynamical systems and related topics, Singapore : World Scientific, (1991), 550-572.
- [9] R. Yang and S. Shen, *Pseudo-orbit-tracing and completely positive entropy*, Acta Math. Sinica, **42** (1999), 99-104.

\*

Department of Mathematics  
Hoseo University  
Cheonan 31066, Republic of Korea  
*E-mail*: kblee@hoseo.edu